IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

FRIDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI BILAL NAZKI, THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

And
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G.CHANDRAIAH

WRIT APPEAL NO : 1119 of 2005

(Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the Order dated 10/06/2005 in WPMP No: 15659 of 2005 in WP NO : 12306 OF 2005 on the file of the High Court.)

Between:

1 Smt. Muna Begum W/o.Late Syed Abbas
R/o.H.No.10-2-41/AA-Battery Band Line, Bazarghat, Hyderabad.
2 Syed Noor Ahmed S/o. Late Syed Abbas
R/o.H.No.10-2-41/AA-Battery Band Line, Bazarghat, Hyderabad.
3 Syed Kaleem Ahmed S/o. Late Syed Abbas
R/o.H.No.10-2-41/AA-Battery Band Line, Bazarghat, Hyderabad.
4 Syed Lmtiaz Ahmed S/o. Late Syed Abbas
R/o.H.No.10-2-41/AA-Battery Band Line, Bazarghat, Hyderabad.
5 Syed Meeraj Ahmed S/o. Late Syed Abbas
R/o.H.No.10-2-41/AA-Battery Band Line, Bazarghat, Hyderabad.
6 Smt.Tayaba Begum D/o. Late Syed Abbas
R/o.H.No.10-2-41/AA-Battery Band Line, Bazarghat, Hyderabad.
7 Syed Khudrath Ahmed D/o. Late Syed Abbas
R/o.H.No.10-2-41/AA-Battery Band Line, Bazarghat, Hyderabad.
8 Syed Kamal Ahmed D/o. Late Syed Abbas
R/o.H.No.10-2-41/AA-Battery Band Line, Bazarghat, Hyderabad.

..... APPELLANTS

AND

1 The State of A.P. rep. by its Secretary, Revenue Department,

Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The District Collector Hyderabad District, Nampally Road,

Hyderabad.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer Asifnagar Mandal, Vijaynagar Colony,

Hyderabad.
4 The Revenue Divisional Officer (Collector Office Building),

Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Counsel for the Appellant: MS. I.MAAMU VANI
Counsel for the Respondent No.: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following :

JUDGMENT : (per Hon'ble Sri Bilal Nazki, ACJ)

The learned Single Judge, while entertaining the petition, passed an interim order in favour of the appellant, but confined it to 120 Sqare yards. However, the learned Counsel for appellants submits that the appellants are in possession of 839.35 Square Metres of land. These are the matters, which ought to have been brought to the notice of the learned Single Judge.

For these reasons, no interference is called for in the order passed by the learned Single Judge. Appeal is accordingly dismissed. The appellants are at liberty to move fresh application before the learned Single Judge. No order as to costs.

_____

(BILAL NAZKI, ACJ)

17th June 2005.

_____

(G. CHANDRAIAH, J)

N.B.:-

Issue copy by 21.6.2005.

(B/o)

ajr

To

1 The Secretary, State of A.P., Revenue Department,

Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The District Collector, Hyderabad District, Nampally Road,

Hyderabad.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Asifnagar Mandal, Vijaynagar Colony,

Hyderabad.
4 The Revenue Divisional Officer (Collector Office Building),

Hyderabad.

5 Two C.Cs to G.P. for Revenue, High Court buildings, Hyderabad

(OUT).

6 2 CD copies